arXiv:math/9803169v1 [math.NT] 13 Mar 1998

TORSION POINTS OF ABELIAN VARIETIES IN ABELIAN EXTENSIONS

WOLFGANG M. RUPPERT

Abstract. We show that if A is an abelian variety defined over a number field K then $A_{\text{tors}}(K^{\text{ab}})$ is finite iff A has no abelian subvariety with complex multiplication over K. We apply this to give another proof for Ribet's result that $A_{\text{tors}}(K^{\text{cycl}})$ is finite.

1. Introduction

For a field K let $\overline{K}$ denote the algebraic closure of K, K^{ab} the maximal abelian extension of K and K^{cycl} the field obtained by adjoining all roots of unity to K. Then $K \subseteq K^{\text{cycl}} \subseteq K^{\text{ab}} \subseteq \overline{K}$.

Let A be an abelian variety defined over a number field K and let A_{tors} denote the torsion subgroup of A. The Mordell-Weil theorem shows that $A_{\text{tors}}(K)$ is finite. Ribet [R] has shown that $A_{\text{tors}}(K^{\text{cycl}})$ is finite. Our aim is to prove the following theorem:

Theorem. *Let A be an abelian variety defined over a number field K such that A is K-simple. Then $A_{\text{tors}}(K^{\text{ab}})$ is infinite if and only if A has complex multiplication over K. In this case $A_{\text{tors}}(\overline{K}) = A_{\text{tors}}(K^{\text{ab}})$.*

We say that an abelian variety A has complex multiplication over K if $\operatorname{End}_K(A)\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$ is a number field of degree $2\dim A$ over $\mathbf{Q}$. An easy consequence of the theorem is the following corollary:

Corollary 1. *Let A be an abelian variety defined over a number field K. Then $A_{\text{tors}}(K^{\text{ab}})$ is finite if and only if A has no abelian subvariety with complex multiplication over K.*

From the theorem we will also deduce another proof of Ribet's result:

Corollary 2. *Let A be an abelian variety defined over a number field K. Then $A_{\text{tors}}(K^{\text{cycl}})$ is finite.*

The proof of the theorem makes essential use Faltings' finiteness theorems for abelian varieties over number fields.

2. Preparations

Our first lemma is purely algebraic.

Lemma 1. *Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over a field k. Let $R, S \subseteq \operatorname{End}_k(V)$ be k-subalgebras. Let $U \subseteq V$ be a k-subspace such that $U \neq 0$. We make the following assumptions:*

Version of February 26, 1998.

- *R is a semisimple k-algebra.*
- *V is a free R-module.*
- *V is a semisimple S-module.*
- *$R = \operatorname{End}_S(V)$, i.e. R is the commutant of S in $\operatorname{End}_k(V)$.*
- *$RU \subseteq U$ and $SU \subseteq U$.*
- *The image of the (natural) ring homomorphism $S \to \operatorname{End}_k(U)$ is commutative.*

Then there is a ring homomorphism $R \to \overline{k}$ (where $\overline{k}$ is the algebraic closure of k) and $\dim_k R = \dim_k V$.

Proof. We first prove the lemma in the case that R is a simple k-algebra.
As V is a semisimple S-module there is a k-subspace $W \subseteq V$ such that $SW \subseteq W$ and $V = U \oplus W$. Define $\alpha \in \operatorname{End}_k(V)$ by $\alpha|_U = 0_U$ and $\alpha|_W = 1_W$. Then α commutes with all elements of S and therefore $\alpha \in R$. As U is R-invariant we get by restriction a ring homomorphism $R \xrightarrow{\varphi} \operatorname{End}_k(U)$. As R is simple and $\varphi(\alpha) = 0$ we get $\alpha = 0$ and therefore $W = 0$ such that $U = V$. This shows that S itself is commutative. As $R = \operatorname{End}_S(V)$ we get $S \subseteq R$. As R is simple, S is the commutant of R in $\operatorname{End}_k(V)$ (by the density theorem [B, p.39]) and the formula in [B, Théorème 2, p.112] gives then

$$\dim_k R \cdot \dim_k S = (\dim_k V)^2.$$

The fact that V is a free R-module gives $\dim_k R \leq \dim_k V$ and $S \subseteq R$ gives $\dim_k S \leq \dim_k R$. Therefore

$$\dim_k R \cdot \dim_k S \leq (\dim_k R)^2 \leq (\dim_k V)^2 = \dim_k R \cdot \dim_k S.$$

This shows $\dim_k R = \dim_k S = \dim_k V$ and $S = R$. Then R is commutative and therefore a field. As $R \subseteq \operatorname{End}_k(V)$ clearly R is algebraic over k such that we have an embedding $R \to \overline{k}$. This proves the lemma in case R is a simple k-algebra.
Now we consider the general case.
As R is a semisimple k-algebra there are idempotents $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_r \in R$ such that $\varepsilon_i^2 = \varepsilon_i$, $\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$, $1 = \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_r$ and $R_i = \varepsilon_i R = R\varepsilon_i$ is a simple k-algebra. We have $R = R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_r$. ε_i is the unit element in R_i. If we write $V_i = \varepsilon_i V$ we get the decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_r$. V_i is a R_i-module. We also have $\varepsilon_i|_{V_i} = 1_{V_i}$. If $v = v_1 + \cdots + v_r \in V$ with $v_i \in V_i$ then $v_i = \varepsilon_i v$. This implies

$$V_i = \{v \in V : \varepsilon_1 v = \cdots = \varepsilon_{i-1} v = \varepsilon_{i+1} v = \cdots = \varepsilon_r v = 0\}.$$

By assumption V is a free R-module: $V \simeq R \oplus \cdots \oplus R = R^\ell$. Then

$$V \simeq (R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_r) \oplus \cdots \oplus (R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_r)$$

and therefore $V_i \simeq R_i^\ell$ such that V_i is also a free R_i-module and

$$\frac{\dim_k V_i}{\dim_k R_i} = \ell = \frac{\dim_k V}{\dim_k R}.$$

As S commutes with R we see by the above expression for V_i that $SV_i \subseteq V_i$. Let S_i be the image of $S \to \operatorname{End}_k(V_i)$. Then $S = S_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus S_r$ and $S_i = \varepsilon_i S$. We have $R_i, S_i \subseteq \operatorname{End}_k(V_i)$. It is easy to see that

$$R_i = \operatorname{End}_{S_i}(V_i).$$

As V is a semisimple S-module, V_i is a semisimple S-module and therefore a semisimple S_i-module. Define $U_i = \varepsilon_i U$. Then $U_i \subseteq V_i$ satisfies $R_i U_i \subseteq U_i$ and $S_i U_i \subseteq U_i$. It is clear that the image of the induced ring homomorphism

$S_i \to \mathrm{End}_k(U_i)$ is also commutative. As $U = U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_r$ and $U \neq 0$ there is an index i such that $U_i \neq 0$. Now we can apply the first part of the proof and get $\dim_k R_i = \dim_k V_i$ and a ring homomorphism $R_i \to \overline{k}$ which gives by the above formulas $\dim_k R = \dim_k V$ and a ring homomorphism $R \to R_i \to \overline{k}$. ■

We prove the following lemma for lack of a reference.

Lemma 2. *Let A be an abelian variety of dimension n defined over $\mathbf{C}$. Let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathrm{End}(A)$ be a ring of endomorphisms of rank d over $\mathbf{Z}$ such that $D = \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$ is a division algebra over $\mathbf{Q}$. Then we have:*

1. *$A[p]$ is a free $\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}/(p)$-module of rank $\frac{2n}{d}$ if p is sufficiently large.*
2. *$V_p(A)$ is a free $D \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_p$-module of rank $\frac{2n}{d}$ for all p.*

Proof. There is a lattice $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbf{C}^n$ such that analytically $A \simeq \mathbf{C}^n/\Lambda$. The p^ℓ-torsion points are then $A[p^\ell] = \frac{1}{p^\ell}\Lambda/\Lambda$ and $T_p(A) = \lim_{\leftarrow} \frac{1}{p^\ell}\Lambda/\Lambda$ with the transition maps $\frac{1}{p^\ell}\Lambda/\Lambda \xleftarrow{\cdot p} \frac{1}{p^{\ell+1}}\Lambda/\Lambda$. Each $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$ is given by a matrix $M(\alpha) \in M_n(\mathbf{C})$ such that $M(\alpha)\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda$. This gives Λ the structure of an $\mathcal{O}$-module. Therefore $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$ is a D-vector space. By comparing dimensions over $\mathbf{Q}$ we see that $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$ has dimension $\frac{2n}{d}$ over D. In particular $d|2n$. Let $e_1, \dots, e_r \in \Lambda$ (with $r = \frac{2n}{d}$) be a D-basis of $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ be a basis of $\mathcal{O}$ over $\mathbf{Z}$. Then $\alpha_i e_j$, $1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j \leq r$ form a $\mathbf{Q}$-basis of $\Lambda \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. This implies that the $\mathbf{Z}$-module generated by $\alpha_i e_j$, $1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j \leq r$, has finite index N in Λ. The vectors $\alpha_i e_j \in \Lambda \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{Q}$.

1. We look at $A[p] \simeq \frac{1}{p}\Lambda/\Lambda$. This is a $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbf{Z}/(p)$-module. Let f_j the the image of $\frac{1}{p}e_j$ in $A[p] = \frac{1}{p}\Lambda/\Lambda$.

Claim: $f_1, \dots, f_r$ are a basis of $A[p]$ over $\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}/(p)$ if p is prime to N.

Suppose that we have $\beta_j \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^r \beta_j f_j = 0$ in $A[p]$. We write $\beta_j = \sum_i m_{ij}\alpha_i$. Then we get

$$\sum_{i,j} m_{ij}\alpha_i \frac{1}{p} e_j \in \Lambda.$$

Every element of $N\Lambda$ is a linear combination of $\alpha_i e_j$ so that we find $n_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}$ with

$$N \sum_{i,j} m_{ij}\alpha_i \frac{1}{p} e_j = \sum_{i,j} n_{ij}\alpha_i e_j$$

which implies $N m_{ij} = p n_{ij}$. As p is by assumption prime to N we can write $m_{ij} = p\tilde{m}_{ij}$ with $\tilde{m}_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}$ and therefore

$$\beta_j = \sum_i m_{ij}\alpha_i = p \sum_i \tilde{m}_{ij}\alpha_i \in p\mathcal{O}$$

so that the image of β_j in $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbf{Z}/(p)$ is 0. This proves

$$(\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbf{Z}/(p)) f_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus (\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbf{Z}/(p)) f_r \subseteq A[p].$$

Comparing dimensions over $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$ shows that we have equality which proves the claim and the first part of the lemma.

2. Now we investigate $T_p(A)$. Define

$$\tilde{e}_j = (\frac{1}{p}e_j, \frac{1}{p^2}e_j, \frac{1}{p^3}e_j, \dots) \in T_p(A) \subseteq V_p(A).$$

Claim: $\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_r$ are a basis of $V_p(A)$ over $D \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_p$.
Suppose that we have $\tilde{\beta}_j \in D \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p$ such that

$$\tilde{\beta}_1 \tilde{e}_1 + \cdots + \tilde{\beta}_r \tilde{e}_r = 0$$

in $V_p(A)$. Then there are $\tilde{m}_{ij} \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ such that

$$\tilde{\beta}_j = \sum_i \tilde{m}_{ij} \alpha_i.$$

By multiplication with a p-power we can achieve that all $\tilde{m}_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ and that not all $\tilde{m}_{ij}$ are divisible by p. We have now

$$\sum_{i,j} \tilde{m}_{ij} \alpha_i \tilde{e}_j = 0.$$

Take $\ell \in \mathbf{N}$ with $p^\ell > N$ and choose $m_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $m_{ij} \equiv \tilde{m}_{ij} \bmod p^\ell$. Then

$$\sum_{i,j} m_{ij} \alpha_i \frac{1}{p^\ell} e_j \in \Lambda.$$

Therefore we find $n_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}$ with

$$N \sum_{i,j} m_{ij} \alpha_i \frac{1}{p^\ell} e_j = \sum_{i,j} n_{ij} \alpha_i e_j.$$

This implies $N m_{ij} = p^\ell n_{ij}$ and with $p^\ell > N$ we get $m_{ij} \equiv 0 \bmod p$, contradicting our assumption. Therefore

$$(D \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p)\tilde{e}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus (D \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p)\tilde{e}_r \subseteq V_p(A).$$

Comparing dimensions over $\mathbf{Q}_p$ gives equality and the claim follows. This proves the second part of the lemma. ■

Lemma 3. *Let D be a noncommutative division algebra of finite dimension over $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathcal{O}$ an order in D. Then:*

1. *There is no ring homomorphism $D \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_p \to k$ where k is a field (p is arbitrary).*
2. *If p is sufficiently large there is no ring homomorphism $\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}/(p) \to k$ where k is a field.*

Proof. 1. A ring homomorphism $D \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_p \to k$ would give a homomorphism $D \to D \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_p \to k$ and as D is a division algebra an embedding $D \hookrightarrow k$, which contracts the assumption that D is noncommutative.
2. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ be the ideal generated by all elements of the form $xy - yx$, $x, y \in \mathcal{O}$. As $\mathcal{O}$ is noncommutative we have $\mathfrak{a} \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{a}$ has finite index in $\mathcal{O}$, i.e. there is a $N \in \mathbf{Z}, N \geq 1$ such that $N\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$. Suppose that we have a ring homomorphism $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbf{Z}/(p) \to k$ where k is a field. Then k has characteristic p. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbf{Z}/(p) \to k$. Then $\varphi(\mathfrak{a}) = 0$ and as $N \cdot 1_{\mathcal{O}} \in \mathfrak{a}$ we get

$$0 = \varphi(N \cdot 1_{\mathcal{O}}) = N \cdot 1_k$$

so that $p | N$. This shows that for all p with $p > N$ the claim is true. ■

3. Proof of the Theorem

Let A be an abelian variety defined over a number field K which is K-simple, i.e. $\mathrm{End}_K(A) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$ is a finite dimensional division algebra over $\mathbf{Q}$. Assume first that $A_{\mathrm{tors}}(K^{\mathrm{ab}})$ is infinite. There are two possible cases:

- There are infinitely many p such that $A[p](K^{\mathrm{ab}}) \neq 0$.
- There is a p such that $\cup_{\ell \geq 1} A[p^\ell](K^{\mathrm{ab}})$ is infinite.

We consider the cases separately and deduce in each case that A has complex multiplication over K.

Case I: We assume that there are infinitely many p with $A[p](K^{\mathrm{ab}}) \neq 0$. Write $V = A[p]$ and $k = \mathbf{Z}/(p)$. Then V is a k-vector space of dimension $2n$. Let $R = \mathrm{End}_K(A) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}/(p)$. Then $\dim_k R = d$. We take p large enough such that R can be considered as a k-subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_k(V)$, that V is a free R-module of rank $\frac{2n}{d}$ by Lemma 2 and furthermore that R is a semisimple k-algebra. Let G be the image of $G_K \to \mathrm{Aut}(A[p])$ and write $S = k[G] \subseteq \mathrm{End}_k(V)$. Taking again p large enough we know by [F, Remarks at the beginning of the proof, p.211] that V is a semisimple S-module and $R = \mathrm{End}_S(V)$. Define $U = A[p](K^{\mathrm{ab}})$. Then U is R- and S-invariant and the image of $S \to \mathrm{End}_k(U)$ is commutative. By our assumption there are infinitely many (large enough in the above sense) p with $U \neq 0$. By Lemma 1 we get $\dim_k R = \dim_k V$, i.e. $d = 2n$ and a ring homomorphism $\mathrm{End}_K(A) \otimes \mathbf{F}_p \to \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ for infinitely many p. By Lemma 3 this implies that $\mathrm{End}_K(A)$ is commutative and therefore a field. This means that A has complex multiplication over K.

Case II: We assume that $\cup_{\ell \geq 1} A[p^\ell](K^{\mathrm{ab}})$ is infinite. Write $k = \mathbf{Q}_p$ and $V = V_p(A) = T_p(A) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Q}_p$. Define $R = \mathrm{End}_K(A) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}_p$ and consider it as k-subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_k(V)$. By Lemma 2 V is a free R-module of rank $\frac{2n}{d}$. Let G be the image of G_K in $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ and $S = k[G] \subseteq \mathrm{End}_k(V)$. By [F, Theorem 1, p.211] we know that V is a semisimple S-module and R is the commutant of S in $\mathrm{End}_k(V)$. $T_p(A)$ consists of sequences (P_ℓ) such that $P_\ell \in A[p^\ell]$ and $p \cdot P_{\ell+1} = P_\ell$. Define

$$U' = \{(P_\ell)_{\ell \geq 1} \in T_p(A) : K(P_\ell) \subseteq K^{\mathrm{ab}} \text{ for all } \ell \geq 1\}$$

and $U = \mathbf{Q}_p U'$. Then U is a $\mathbf{Q}_p$-vector space and $RU \subseteq U$, $SU \subseteq U$ and the image of $S \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(U)$ is abelian. It is easy to see that our assumption implies that $U \neq 0$. Lemma 1 gives now $\dim_k R = \dim_k V$, i.e. $d = 2n$, and a ring homomorphism $\mathrm{End}_K(A) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p \to \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$. By Lemma 3 $\mathrm{End}_K(A) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ is a field. This means that A has complex multiplication over K.

Suppose now that A has complex multiplication over K, i.e. $F = \mathrm{End}_K(A) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$ is a number field of degree $2 \dim A$ over $\mathbf{Q}$. Let p be any prime. By Lemma 2 $V_p(A)$ is isomorphic to $F \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_p$, i.e. there is a $v \in V_p(A)$ such that $V_p(A) = (\mathrm{End}_K(A) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p)v \simeq \mathrm{End}_K(A) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p$. Let G be the image of $G_K \to \mathrm{Aut}(V_p(A))$. As G is compatible with endomorphisms G is determined by its operation on v so that we get an injection

$$G \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{End}_K(A) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p)^* \simeq (F \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p)^*$$

which implies that G is abelian. Therefore $K(\cup_{\ell \geq 1} A[p^\ell]) \subseteq K^{\mathrm{ab}}$. As this holds for all primes we get $A_{\mathrm{tors}}(\overline{K}) = A_{\mathrm{tors}}(K^{\mathrm{ab}})$ as claimed in the theorem.

4. PROOF OF COROLLARY 1

Let A be an abelian variety defined over a number field K.

If A has an abelian subvariety B with complex multiplication over K then $A_{\mathrm{tors}}(K^{\mathrm{ab}}) \supseteq B_{\mathrm{tors}}(K^{\mathrm{ab}})$ which is infinite by the theorem.

Suppose now that $A_{\mathrm{tors}}(K^{\mathrm{ab}})$ is infinite. A is K-isogenous to a product $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_r$ of abelian varieties which are defined over K and K-simple. Then there is index i such that $(A_i)_{\mathrm{tors}}(K^{\mathrm{ab}})$ is infinite. Therefore A_i has complex multiplication over K by the theorem. The image of the map $A_i \to A_1 \times \cdots \times A_r \to A$ is then an abelian subvariety of A which has complex multiplication over K. This proves Corollary 1.

5. PROOF OF COROLLARY 2

Let A be an abelian variety defined over a number field K. We want to show that $A_{\mathrm{tors}}(K^{\mathrm{cycl}})$ is finite. As A is isogenous to a product of K-simple abelian varieties we can restrict us to the case that A is K-simple, i.e. $\mathrm{End}_K(A) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$ is a finite dimensional division algebra over $\mathbf{Q}$. If A has no complex multiplication over K then $A_{\mathrm{tors}}(K^{\mathrm{ab}})$ is finite (by our theorem) and so is $A_{\mathrm{tors}}(K^{\mathrm{cycl}}) \subseteq A_{\mathrm{tors}}(K^{\mathrm{cycl}})$. Therefore it remains to consider the case that A has complex multiplication over K. If necessary we can enlarge the field K or change to a K-isogenous abelian variety.

As the argument is very explicit for elliptic curves we start with them. For abelian varieties we can argue in a similar way by using a theorem of Shimura.

5.1. **Elliptic curves.** Let E be an elliptic curve defined over a number field K such that $\mathrm{End}_K(E) \supseteq \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$ for some $d < 0$. We can enlarge K such that K is Galois over $\mathbf{Q}$ and E is isogenous to $\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$ over K. Therefore we can assume that $E \simeq \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$. Then $j = j(E)$ can be calculated with $q = e^{2\pi i \cdot \sqrt{d}} = e^{-2\pi\sqrt{|d|}}$ and $\sigma_k(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^k$ as

$$j = 1728 \frac{g_2^3}{g_2^3 - 27 g_3^2}$$

where

$$g_2 = \frac{4}{3}\pi^4 + 320\pi^4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_3(n) q^n, \quad g_3 = \frac{8}{27}\pi^6 - \frac{448}{3}\pi^6 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_5(n) q^n.$$

This implies $j \in \mathbf{R}$. We have $\mathbf{Q}(j, \sqrt{d}) \subseteq K$. Let K_+ be the real subfield of K. Then $j \in K_+$ and E is defined over K_+. But as $\sqrt{d} \notin K_+$ we get $\mathrm{End}_{K_+}(E) = \mathbf{Z}$ and therefore $E_{\mathrm{tors}}(K_+^{\mathrm{ab}})$ is finite by our theorem. As $K = K_+(\sqrt{d})$ we have $K^{\mathrm{cycl}} = K_+(\sqrt{d}, \zeta_\ell, \ell \in \mathbf{N}) \subseteq K_+^{\mathrm{ab}}$ (where $\zeta_\ell = e^{2\pi i/\ell}$) which shows that $E_{\mathrm{tors}}(K^{\mathrm{cycl}})$ is finite.

5.2. **Abelian varieties.** Let A be a K-simple abelian variety with complex multiplication defined over a number field K, in particular $\dim_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathrm{End}_K(A) = 2 \dim A$. By enlarging K and using an isogenous abelian variety we can achieve the following situation according to a theorem of Shimura [L, p.142, Theorem 6.1]: A is defined over a number field K which is Galois over $\mathbf{Q}$; $K_+ = K \cap \mathbf{R}$ has index 2 in K; the abelian variety A is already defined over K_+ and $\dim_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathrm{End}_{K_+}(A) < 2 \dim A$. This implies by our theorem that $A_{\text{tors}}(K_{+}^{\text{ab}})$ is finite. But as before we have $K^{\text{cycl}} \subseteq K_{+}^{\text{ab}}$ and the finiteness of $A_{\text{tors}}(K^{\text{cycl}})$ follows.

References

[B] N. Bourbaki, Algèbre, Chapitre 8, Modules et anneaux semi-simples, Hermann, Paris 1958.

[F] G. Faltings, Complements to Mordell, in: G. Faltings, G. Wüstholz et al., Rational Points, Vieweg, Braunschweig 1984.

[KL] N. Katz, S. Lang, Finiteness theorems in geometric class field theory, L'enseignement Mathématique **27** (1981), 285–314.

[L] S. Lang, Complex Multiplication, Springer-Verlag 1983.

[R] K. Ribet, Torsion points of abelian varieties in cyclotomic extensions, L'enseignement Mathématique **27** (1981), 315–319. (Appendix to [KL])

[S] G. Shimura, On the zeta function of an abelian variety with complex multiplication, Ann. Math. **94** (1971), 504–533.

Institut für Experimentelle Mathematik, Universität GH Essen, Ellernstrasse 29, D-45326 Essen, Germany

E-mail address: `ruppert@exp-math.uni-essen.de`